Modularisation/Group Refinement/Views

Alexei Iliasov

Newcastle University

Modularisation

What the plugin does

- The plugin extends the Event B modelling language with the concept of a module
- A module is a parametrised Event B development associated with a module interface
- An interface defines a number of operations
- A specification is decomposed by including a module in a machine and connecting the two using operation calls and gluing invariants

What the plugin provides

- a new type of Event B component a module interface (editor, pretty-printer and proof obligations generator)
- new machine constructs: IMPLEMENTS and USES
- new event attributes: group and final
- the ability to write operation calls in event actions
- additional proof obligations for operation calls
- additional proof obligations for implementation machines

Parking Lot

The task is to develope an access control and payment collection mechanisms for a parking lot. The following main requirements were identified:

- 1. no car may enter when there is no space left in the parking lot
- 2. a fare must be paid when a car leaves the parking lot
- each time a car leaves the parking lot, the fare to be paid is determined by multiplying the total length of stay since the midnight (that is, including any previous stay(s)) by the cost of parking per unit of time
- 4. the amount paid in any single transaction is capped
- 5. at midnight, the accumulated parking time of all cars is reset to zero

Parking Lot

Solution overview:

- 1. two gates are placed to control entry and exit
- a payment collection machine is placed near to the exit gate in such a manner that a driver may use it before going through the exit gate
- 3. the exit gate does not open until the full payment is collected
- 4. the entrance gate does not open if the car park is full

Abstract model

the initial model describes the phenomena of cars entering and leaving the parking lot. It addresses the capacity restrictions although without exhibiting a concrete mechanism for controlling the number of cars entering the parking lot.

Model variables

- LOT_SIZE the parking lot capacity (constant)
- entered the number of cars that have entered the parking lot
- left the number of cars that have left the parking lot
- hence, *left entered* is the current number of cars in the parking lot

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{INVARIANT} \\ \textit{entered} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \textit{left} \in \mathbb{N} \\ \textit{entered} - \textit{left} \in 0 \dots \textit{LOT_SIZE} \end{array}$

Model events

a new car appears:

enter	=	WHEN
		entered - left < LOT_SIZE
		THEN
		$\mathit{entered} := \mathit{entered} + 1$
		END

a car leaves:

First refinement

In the first refinement the entrance is controlled by a gate. The the gate prevents a car from entering when there is no free space and also records the registration plate of an entering car.

Gate Module

The logic controlling a gate is easily decoupled from the main model. We decompose the model into the controller part and an entry gate

The first step of this decomposition is to define a gate module interface.

Gate variables

- CAR car id (registration plate)
- mcars the number of cars that has passed through the gate
- current the id of the car in the front of the gate

INVARIANT $mcars \in \mathbb{N}$

 $current \in CAR$

when there is no car in front of the gate, a driver may press the gate button to try to open the gate:

=	PRE
	current = empty
	POST
	$\mathit{current}' \in \mathit{CAR} \setminus \{\mathit{empty}\}$
	<i>carid'</i> = <i>current</i>
	END
	=

Gate operations

the car park controller orders the gate to open; the gate has sensors to observe whether the car has moved through the gate (moved = TRUE) or stayed in front of the gate:

$moved \gets OpenGate$	=	PRE
		current \neq empty
		POST
		$(\textit{moved}' = \mathrm{TRUE} \land \textit{mcars}' = \textit{mcars} + 1 \land$
		$\mathit{current'} = \mathit{empty}) \lor$
		$(\textit{moved}' = \mathrm{FALSE} \land \textit{mcars}' = \textit{mcars} \land$
		current' = current)
		END

Gate operations

predicate $mcars' = mcars \land current' = current$ in

 $(moved' = TRUE \land mcars' = mcars + 1 \land current' = empty) \lor$ $(moved' = FALSE \land mcars' = mcars \land current' = current)$

is necessary to indicate that *mcars* and *current* remain unchanged in the second branch of the post-condition. This is only required when a disjunction is used and not all variables are assigned new values in the disjunction branches to open the gate and let a car through it, the following has to happen:

- ▶ a driver must press the gate button (operation *Button*)
- the controller must activate the gate (operation OpenGate)

in our model, the main development models both driver's and controller's behaviour

First refinement machine

The first refinement imports the gate module interface. Prefix entry is used to avoid name clashes (with another gate added later on).

When a prefixed interface is imported, all its constants and sets appear prefixed in the importing context. This is not always convenient. We use type instantiation to replace the type of an imported module by a typing expression known in the importing context. We also define a property (an axiom) that equates a prefixed and unprefixed versions of constant *empty*.

```
USES entry : ParkingGate

TYPES

entry_CAR → CAR

PROPERTIES

entry_empty = empty
```

two new variables are defined in the refinement machine. They help to link the states of the controller and the entry gate.

- incar the id of an entering car
- inmoved a flag indicating whether a car has passed through the (open) entry gate

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{INVARIANT} \\ \textit{incar} \in \textit{CAR} \\ \textit{inmoved} \in \textit{BOOL} \end{array}$

it is necessary to provide an invariant relating the states of an imported module and the importing machine (import invariant)

without this, a module import does not make much sense as an overall model would be composed of two independently evolving systems

when there is no car at the gate, the gate car counter has the same value as the controller counter:

 $inmoved = FALSE \implies entered = entry_mcars$

when a car is passing through the entrance gate, only the gate counter has been incremented:

 $inmoved = TRUE \implies entered + 1 = entry_mcars$

when a car is passing through the gate there must be no other car at the gate:

$$inmoved = TRUE \implies entry_current = empty$$

when a car is coming through the entrance gate there is certainly free space in the parking lot:

 $inmoved = TRUE \land entry_current \neq empty \implies entered - left < LOT_SIZE$

Model events

a driver presses the gate button at the entrance gate (new event):

UserPressButton = WHEN entered - left < LOT_SIZE entry_current = empty inmoved = FALSE THEN incar := entry_Button END

here **entry_Button** is a call of the *Button* operation from the *entry* module.

the parking lot controller orders the gate to open (new event):

CtrlOpenGate = WHEN entry_current ≠ empty ∧ inmoved = FALSE THEN inmoved := entry_OpenGate END

finally, the enter event is refined to reflect the model changes:

```
enter = WHEN

inmoved = TRUE

THEN

entered := entered + 1

inmoved := FALSE

END
```

Development structure

all proof obligations are discharged automatically (18 total)

Second refinement

the second refinement is very similar: we add another gate - an exit gate. the same module is imported with a new prefix to obtain two separate modules modelling two gates.

Second refinement

one interactive proof (17 total)

Third refinement

the third refinement step is concerned with keeping the record of car stays; this step introduces the notion of time

the definition of time will be used more than once and thus it is convenient to place in an interface

Third refinement

Fourth refinement

in this step, before a car may leave, the car driver must pay the amount determined by the length of stay since the midnight

the functionality of a device collecting payment is decoupled from the controller logic and is placed in a separate module

Fourth refinement

all proof obligations are discharged automatically (34 total)

A machine providing the realisation of an interface is said to *implement* the interface. This is recorded by adding the interfaces into the **IMPLEMENTS** section of a machine. The fact that a machine provides a correct implementation of interfaces is established by a number of static checks and a set of proof obligations. The latter appear automatically in the list of machine proof obligations. The implementation relation is maintained during machine refinement (subject to some syntactic constraints) and thus the bulk of the module implementation activity is the normal Event B refinement process.

Event Group

The first step of implementing an interface is to provide at least one event for each interface operation. In general, an operation is realised by a set of events (an event **group**). Some events play a special role of operation termination events and are called **final** events. A final event returns the control to a caller. It must satisfy the operation post-conditions but there is no need to prove the convergence of a final event. To simplify proofs, the initial implementation is a simple machine with few events mirroring the interface operations. The machine retains interface variables *current* and *mcars* and also defines the operation return variables *Button_carid* and *OpenGate_moved*.

The names of the operation return variables are fixed for the first machine of a module implementation. In further refinements they may be replaced or removed using data refinement.

Implementing ParkingGate: abstract machine

The *button* event implements operation *Button* in a single atomic step. The fact that it is associated with operation *Button* is stated by GROUP Button. Being the only event in its operation group it is also a FINAL event.

MACHINE iParkingGate IMPLEMENTS VARIABLES current mcars Button_carid OpenGate_moved EVENTS button = FINAL GROUP Button WHEN current = empty THEN current : $\in CAR \setminus \{empty\}$ Button_carid := current END

Implementing ParkingGate: abstract machine

The machine declares two more events, both realising the *OpenGate* operation. The events are final and each one handles one of the cases of the *OpenGate* operation post-condition.

gate_succ	=	FINAL GROUP OpenGate
		WHEN
		current \neq empty
		THEN
		<i>OpenGate_moved</i> := <i>TRUE</i>
		mcars := mcars + 1
		<i>current</i> := <i>empty</i>
		END
gate_nocar	=	FINAL GROUP OpenGate
		WHEN
		current eq empty
		THEN
		OpenGate_moved := FALSE
		END

Development structure

one interactive proof (5 total)

Implementing ParkingGate: first refinement

new variables:

- gate the gate state: open or closed
- sensor the state of the car sensor placed; the sensor is placed on the parking lot of a gate
- stage the current step of the gate operation

```
INVARIANT

gate \in GATE

sensor \in BOOL

stage \in 0...3

stage = 1 \implies gate = OPEN

stage = 2 \implies gate = CLOSED
```

Implementing ParkingGate: first refinement

The refined implementation of the *OpenGate* operation includes events for opening and closing the gate.

open_gate	=	GROUP OpenGate
		WHEN
		$\mathit{gate} = \mathit{CLOSED} \land \mathit{stage} = 0$
		THEN
		gate := OPEN
		stage := 1
		END
$close_gate$	=	GROUP OpenGate
		WHEN
		stage = 2
		THEN
		gate := CLOSED
		stage := 3
		END

Implementing ParkingGate: first refinement

the gate detects whether a car has passed through the gate while the gate was open:

Development structure

all proof obligations are discharged automatically (26 total)

To prove the convergence of anticipated event *readSensor*, the car sensor waits for a car for a given time interval. The time model is imported from the *Clock* interface.

=	GROUP OpenGate
	WHEN
	stage = 1
	prev < delay
	THEN
	sensor, stage : (sensor' = $\text{TRUE} \land \text{stage'} = 2) \lor$
	$(\mathit{sensor}' = \mathrm{FALSE} \land \mathit{stage}' = 1)$
	<i>time</i> := currentTime
	END
	=

Development structure

two interactive proofs (8 total)

The overall development structure

Implementation

- New syntatic elements at the level of unchecked machines
- Pure Event-B at the level of statically checked machines
- Custom static checking rules
- Additional proof obligations

Version 2.0

- Improved PO generation
- Process notion
- Bug fixes
- ProB and Camille integration

Developments/Case Studies

- SSF AOCS (Abo, bscw)
- Parking lot tutorial (Ncl)
- BepiColombo 2 (SSF, internal)
- NFS (Ncl, ongoing)

Extending Event-B

Event-B is a set of plugins to the Rodin platform

good:

- extending the Rodin database is easy
- contributing new static checker rules and proof obligations is easy
- + lots of documentation appeared recently on the Event-B wiki

bad:

- Eclipse/Java/OSes/bits (getting better)
- inconsistently exposed API (not enough abstraction layers?)
- extensions integration (text editor)
- the status and the future of EMF integration
- some Event-B plugins could be Rodin plugins (some progress: new pretty-printer)

The full version and the development are available at:

http://wiki.event-b.org/index.php/Modularisation_Plug-in next: Group refinement

Abstract Model

MACHINE m0VARIABLES vINVARIANT $v \in \mathbb{N}$ EVENTS e = BEGIN v := 2 ENDEND

- v is to be replaced by a and b: v = a + b
- ▶ a and b may not be updated at the same time

Refinement

```
MACHINE m1_classic
  REFINES m0
  VARIABLES a, b, pc, al, bl
  INVARIANT
     a + b = v \wedge pc \in 1..3
  EVENTS
                 = WHEN pc = 1 THEN al := 1 || pc := 2 END
     e1
                 = WHEN pc = 2 THEN bl := 1 || pc := 3 END
     e2
     e3 \text{ REF } e = \text{WHEN}
                         pc = 3
                     THEN
                         a, b := al, bl
                         pc := 1
                     END
```

END

Refinement

Refinement proof + model

```
MACHINE m1 classic
  REFINES m0
  VARIABLES a, b, pc, al, bl
  INVARIANT
      a + b = v \wedge pc \in 1..3
  EVENTS
      e1
                  = WHEN pc = 1 THEN al := 1 || pc := 2 END
                  = WHEN \overline{pc} = 2 THEN bl := 1 \| \overline{pc} := 3 END
      e2
      e3 \text{ REF } e = \text{WHEN}
                          pc = 3
                      THEN
                          a, b := al, bl
                          pc := 1
                       END
```

END

```
MACHINE m
VARIABLES a, b
INVARIANT a \in \mathbb{N} \land b \in \mathbb{N}
INITIALISATION a :\in \mathbb{N} \parallel b :\in \mathbb{N}
EVENTS
swap = BEGIN a, b := b, a END
```

▶ a and b may not be updated at the same time

Refinement objective

REFINEMENT mla REFINES m VARIABLES a, b, x, y, pc INVARIANT $x \in \mathbb{N} \land y \in \mathbb{N} \land pc \in 0 \dots 3$ $pc = 0 \implies x = a \land y = b$ $pc = 1 \implies x = a + b \land y = b$ $pc = 2 \implies x = a + b \land y = a$ $pc = 3 \implies x = b \land y = a$ INITIALISATION $a, x : | a' \in \mathbb{N} \land x' = a'$ $b, v : \mid b' \in \mathbb{N} \land v' = b'$ pc := 0EVENTS step1 = WHEN pc = 0 THEN $x := x + y \parallel pc := 1$ END step2 = WHEN pc = 1 THEN $y := x - y \parallel pc := 2$ END step3 = WHEN pc = 2 THEN $x := x - y \parallel pc := 3$ END = WHEN pc = 3 THEN $a, b := x, y \parallel pc := 0$ END swap

END

Refinement objective

REFINEMENT mla REFINES m VARIABLES a, b, x, y, pc INVARIANT $x \in \mathbb{N} \land y \in \mathbb{N} \land pc \in 0 \dots 3$ $pc = 0 \implies x = a \land y = b$ $pc = 1 \implies x = a + b \land y = b$ $pc = 2 \implies x = a + b \land y = a$ $pc = 3 \implies x = b \land y = a$ INITIALISATION $a, x : | a' \in \mathbb{N} \land x' = a'$ $b, y : \mid b' \in \mathbb{N} \land y' = b'$ pc := 0EVENTS step1 = WHEN pc = 0 THEN $x := x + y \parallel pc := 1$ END step2 = WHEN pc = 1 THEN $y := x - y \parallel pc := 2$ END step3 = WHEN pc = 2 THEN $x := x - y \parallel pc := 3$ END swap = WHEN pc = 3 THEN $a, b := x, y \parallel pc := 0$ END

END

A pattern

- atomicity refinement
- + data refinement
- housekeeping event + new variables + gluing invariant

- new proof obligations for event split refinement for the scope of a given refinement step
- override split refinement semantics: the combined effect of all the refinement events achieves the effect of the abstract event
- some ordering constraints on events

MACHINE m1_alt REFINES m0 VARIABLES a, bINVARIANT a + b = vEVENTS $e1 \operatorname{REF} e = \operatorname{BEGIN} a := 1 \operatorname{END}$ $e2 \operatorname{REF} e = \operatorname{BEGIN} b := 1 \operatorname{END}$ END

Refinement

MACHINE m1_classic REFINES m0 VARIABLES a, b, pc, al, bl INVARIANT $a + b = v \wedge pc \in 1..3$ EVENTS = WHEN pc = 1 THEN al := 1 || pc := 2 END e1 = WHEN $\overline{pc} = 2$ THEN $bI := 1 \| \overline{pc} := 3$ END e2 e3 REF e = WHENpc = 3THEN a, b := al, blpc := 1END

END

Refinement objective

REFINEMENT mla REFINES m VARIABLES $\underline{a, b}, x, y, pc$ INVARIANT $x \in \mathbb{N} \land y \in \mathbb{N} \land pc \in 0 \dots 3$ $pc = 0 \implies x = a \land y = b$ $pc = 1 \implies x = a + b \land y = b$ $pc = 2 \implies x = a + b \land y = a$ $pc = 3 \implies x = b \land y = a$

INITIALISATION

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{x} : \mid \mathbf{a}' \in \mathbb{N} \land \mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{a}' \\ \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{y} : \mid \mathbf{b}' \in \mathbb{N} \land \mathbf{y}' = \mathbf{b}' \\ \mathbf{pc} := \mathbf{0} \end{array}$$

EVENTS

END

31/0 POs

Alternative Refinement

```
REFINEMENT m1b

REFINES m

VARIABLES a, b

INVARIANT a \in \mathbb{N} \land b \in \mathbb{N}

INITIALISATION a :\in \mathbb{N} \parallel b :\in \mathbb{N}

EVENTS

swap1 = BEGIN a := a + b END

swap2 = BEGIN b := a - b END

swap3 = BEGIN a := a - b END
```

2/0 POs

demo

The plugin and some documentation is here:

http://wiki.event-b.org/index.php/Group_refinement_plugin next: Views